UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

### NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

65913 7590 02/17/2010

NXP, B.V. NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & LICENSING M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131

EXAMINER				
LEIBOVICH, YAIR				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			

2114

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2010

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Ī	10/542,181	07/13/2005	Vladimir Pisarski	US03 0013 US2	7816

TITLE OF INVENTION: PREVENTING DISTRUBTION OF MODIFIED OR CORRUPTED FILES

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300	\$0	\$1810	05/17/2010

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

#### HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

#### PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

#### Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or <u>Fax</u> (571)-273-2885

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 5 should be completed where m

ppropriate. All further ndicated unless correcte naintenance fee notifica		ng the Patent, advance on nerwise in Block 1, by (a	ders and notification of specifying a new co	of m orresp	aintenance fees wil oondence address; a	ll be 1 ind/or	mailed to the current of (b) indicating a separ	correspondence address as ate "FEE ADDRESS" for
	ENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use BI	ock 1 for any change of address)	1	Fee(s	Transmittal This	certifi	icate cannot be used fo	domestic mailings of the r any other accompanying t or formal drawing, must
M/S41-SJ	7590 02/17 CTUAL PROPERT				Certii	ficate	of Mailing or Transn	
1109 MCKAY I SAN JOSE, CA			[					(Depositor's name)
,								(Signature)
	_		l					(Date)
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENT	ΓOR	I	ATTOI	RNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,181	07/13/2005		Vladimir Pisarski			U	S03 0013 US2	7816
		RUBTION OF MODIFIED					TOTAL EFF(s) DUE	DATE DIE
APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE D	UE	PREV. PAID ISSUE 1	FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE \$1810	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300 I	_	\$0		\$1810	05/17/2010
EXAM		ART UNIT	CLASS-SUBCLASS					
Change of corresponds	ence address or indication	2114	714-048000  2. For printing on the second se	he na	tent front page list			
FR 1.363).  Change of correspondence address (or Change of Correspondence Address form PTO/SB/122) attached.  "Fee Address" indication (or "Fee Address" Indication form PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-02 or more recent) attached. Use of a Customer Number is required.			(1) the names of up or agents OR, altern (2) the name of a sregistered attorney 2 registered patent	(1) the names of up to 3 registered patent attorneys or agents OR, alternatively,  (2) the name of a single firm (having as a member a registered attorney or agent) and the names of up to 2 registered patent attorneys or agents. If no name is listed, no name will be printed.				
PLEASE NOTE: Unl recordation as set fort (A) NAME OF ASSIG	less an assignee is ident h in 37 CFR 3.11. Comp GNEE		data will appear on th T a substitute for filing (B) RESIDENCE: (C	ne pat g an a	tent. If an assignee ssignment. and STATE OR CC	UNT	RY)	cument has been filed for
lease check the appropr	iate assignee category or	categories (will not be pr	inted on the patent):	<u> </u>	Individual 🖵 Corj	porati	on or other private grou	up entity Government
a. The following fee(s):  Issue Fee  Publication Fee (N Advance Order - a	are submitted: No small entity discount p	permitted)	<ul> <li>Payment of Fee(s): (I</li> <li>A check is enclosed</li> <li>Payment by credite</li> <li>The Director is here overpayment, to D</li> </ul>	ed. card reby	. Form PTO-2038 i	is atta	ched. required fee(s), any def	
	<b>tus</b> (from status indicated is SMALL ENTITY statu	,	☐ b. Applicant is no	long	er claiming SMALI	L ENT	TITY status. See 37 CF.	R 1.27(g)(2).
OTE: The Issue Fee an terest as shown by the	d Publication Fee (if requeecords of the United Sta	uired) will not be accepte tes Patent and Trademark	d from anyone other the Office.	an th	e applicant; a regist	ered a	ttorney or agent; or the	assignee or other party in
Authorized Signature					Date			
Typed or printed name	e				Registration No	•		
n application. Confiden ubmitting the completed his form and/or suggesti	tiality is governed by 35 dapplication form to the ions for reducing this but irginia 22313-1450. DC	U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR USPTO. Time will vary rden, should be sent to th	1.14. This collection is depending upon the in e Chief Information Of	s estin ndivi fficer	mated to take 12 mi dual case. Any com : U.S. Patent and T	inutes iments radem	to complete, including s on the amount of time park Office, U.S. Depar	by the USPTO to process); gathering, preparing, and e you require to complete tment of Commerce, P.O. or Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

PTOL-85 (Rev. 08/07) Approved for use through 08/31/2010.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,181	10/542,181 07/13/2005 Vladimir Pisarski		US03 0013 US2	7816
65913 75	90 02/17/2010		EXAM	INER
NXP, B.V.		LEIBOVICH, YAIR		
	UAL PROPERTY & I	LICENSING	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DR SAN JOSE, CA 95			2114 DATE MAILED: 02/17/201	0

## Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 474 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 474 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 or (571)-272-4200.

	Application No. Applicant(s)				
	   10/542,181	PISARSKI, VLADIM	IIR		
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit			
	YAIR LEIBOVICH	2114			
	TAIR LEIBOVICH	2114			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOS or other appropriate c IGHTS. This application	SED in this application. If not included ommunication will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>		
1. This communication is responsive to <u>1/14/2010</u> .					
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 2-3, 8-9, 15-19, 21-24, 26-31, a	and 34.				
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:		a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	• •				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been re	ceived in this national stage applica	tion from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* Certified copies not received:					
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.			quirements		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			IOTICE OF		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.				
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing F	Review ( PTO-948) attached			
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date					
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comm	ent or in the Office action of			
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			e back) of		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.					
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice	e of Informal Patent Application			
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		iew Summary (PTO-413),			
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	Pape	r No./Mail Date iner's Amendment/Comment			
Paper No./Mail Date					
of Biological Material	eposit 8. ☑ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance 9. ☐ Other				
/Yair Leibovich/		<del></del>			
Examiner, Art Unit 2114					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 1/14/2010, with respect to amended independent claims have been fully considered and are persuasive.

#### Examiner's Amendment

2. The following amendment is necessary to avoid an objection and/or a 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection. For emphasis, the amendment is **adding a period at** the end of the claim.

#### Claim 26:

An administrator node in a distributed communications network for exchanging information files among a plurality of nodes, the administrator node configured to: receive a discrepancy report from a reporting node, the discrepancy report identifying a source node and an information file, verify the discrepancy report, and modify a trustworthy-measure associated at least one node of the plurality of nodes, based on whether the discrepancy report is valid: and wherein the discrepancy report is based on a comparison of a code computed by the reporting node to an identifying code corresponding to contents of the information file at a prior time to determine that the discrepancy report identifies a discrepancy that is not due to a communication error, the administrator node is configured to verify the discrepancy report by: receiving the information file from the source node, and determining a verification code based on received content of the information file, and comparing the verification code to the identifying code.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,181 Page 3

Art Unit: 2114

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of

allowable subject matter; the claims are allowable because the examiner found neither

prior art cited in its entirety, nor based on the prior art, found any motivation to combine

any of the said prior arts.

4. Claims 2-3, 8-9, 15-19, 21-24, 26-31, and 34 are allowed over the prior art of

records.

## For Independent claim 2,

Prior art has been found to teach "A method of affecting a trustworthy-measure

associated with a source node in a distributed network, the method comprising:

receiving an information file from the source node and a corresponding identifying

code that is based on content of the information file when the information file is

introduced to the network, and computing an associated code based on received

content of the information file: comparing the associated code with the identifying

code; transmitting an error report to an administrator node, the error report

identifying the source node and the information file, when at least one of the

following occur: the associated code does not correspond to the identifying code,

and the content of the information file is abnormal; verifying the error report by the

administrator node; and reducing the value of the trustworthy-measure associated

with the source node in response to the administrator node verifying the error report,

thereby providing the reduced-value trustworthy measure for evaluating subsequent

use of the source node; ..."

Art Unit: 2114

• Since, no prior art was found to teach:" ... wherein transmitting an error report includes transmitting an error report in response to the step of comparing indicating that a difference between the associated code and the identifying code is not caused by a communication error, and further including: repeating the receiving, computing, and comparing steps prior to transmitting the error report" as it pertains to the other portions of the claim <u>as a whole</u>, in a manner that would motivate a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine it as an obvious inclusion,

 The examiner found the invention as claimed to be novel, and allowed it to be patented.

### For Independent claim 8,

Prior art has been found to teach "A method of facilitating control of distribution of modified or corrupted files in a distributed network, the method comprising: providing a catalog of available files to nodes of the distributed network, the catalog identifying each file of the available files and a corresponding source node of each file, processing an error report from a target node that received a downloaded file from a selected source node, verifying the error report, degrading a trustworthy-measure of at least one node of the distributed network based on a result of verifying the error report, and providing the trustworthy-measure of the at least one node to other nodes of the distributed network; wherein verifying the error report is based upon an identifying code corresponding to an original version of the downloaded file,..."

Application/Control Number: 10/542,181

Page 5

Art Unit: 2114

• Since, no prior art was found to teach:" ... and verifying the error report includes receiving the downloaded file from the selected source node by an administrator node, computing a verification code based on content of the downloaded file received by the administrator node, comparing the verification code with the identifying code, and verifying the error report when the verification code does not correspond to the identifying code." as it pertains to the other portions of the claim as

 The examiner found the invention as claimed to be novel, and allowed it to be patented.

time the invention was made to combine it as an obvious inclusion,

a whole, in a manner that would motivate a person of ordinary skill in the art at the

### For Independent claim 15,

- Prior art has been found to teach "A method of controlling a trustworthy-measure associated with a source node in a distributed network, the method comprising: receiving, from a reporting node, a report of a modification or corruption of an information file by the source node, determining a validity of the report, and degrading the trustworthy-measure associated with the source node when the report is determined to be valid; wherein determining the validity of the report includes notifying the source node of the report, and assessing a response from the source node to determine the validity of the report;..."
- Since, no prior art was found to teach:"... and wherein receiving a report of a
  modification or corruption of an information file by the source node includes
  receiving a report that the modification or corruption was not caused by a

communication error, and assessing the response includes: determining that the report is valid if the response is a null-response, or an admittance of effecting the modification or corruption of the information, and revising the report to identify an alternative source of the modification or corruption of the information, if the response includes an acknowledgement of the modification or corruption" as it pertains to the other portions of the claim <u>as a whole</u>, in a manner that would motivate a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine it as an obvious inclusion,

 The examiner found the invention as claimed to be novel, and allowed it to be patented.

## For Independent claim 21,

• Prior art has been found to teach "A communication network, comprising: a plurality of nodes, including at least a source node, a target node, and an administrator node, the source node having an information file and a corresponding identifying code based on content of the information file at a prior point in time, the target node being configured to: receive the information file and identifying code. transmit a discrepancy report based on at least one of: a discrepancy between the identifying code and a computed code based on received content of the information file, and an abnormality in the information file, and the administrator node being configured to: receive the discrepancy report, verify validity of the discrepancy report, and modify a trustworthy-measure associated with at least one node of the plurality of nodes in response to verifying the validity of the discrepancy report;..."

Application/Control Number: 10/542,181

Page 7

Art Unit: 2114

• Since, no prior art was found to teach:"... wherein the administrator node is further configured to verify validity of the discrepancy report prior to modifying the trustworthy-measure by verifying that the discrepancy report is indicative of a modification or corruption of an information file by the source node that is not based upon a communication error" as it pertains to the other portions of the claim <u>as a whole</u>, in a manner that would motivate a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine it as an obvious inclusion.

 The examiner found the invention as claimed to be novel, and allowed it to be patented.

## For Independent claim 26,

- Prior art has been found to teach "An administrator node in a distributed communications network for exchanging information files among a plurality of nodes, the administrator node configured to: receive a discrepancy report from a reporting node, the discrepancy report identifying a source node and an information file, verify the discrepancy report, and modify a trustworthy-measure associated at least one node of the plurality of nodes, based on whether the discrepancy report is valid;..."
- Since, no prior art was found to teach:"... and wherein the discrepancy report is based on a comparison of a code computed by the reporting node to an identifying code corresponding to contents of the information file at a prior time to determine that the discrepancy report identifies a discrepancy that is not due to a communication error, the administrator node is configured to verify the discrepancy report by: receiving the information file from the source node, and determining a

verification code based on received content of the information file, and comparing the verification code to the identifying code." as it pertains to the other portions of the claim <u>as a whole</u>, in a manner that would motivate a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine it as an obvious inclusion,

 The examiner found the invention as claimed to be novel, and allowed it to be patented.

# For Independent claim 34,

- Prior art has been found to teach "A method of facilitating control of distribution of modified or corrupted files in a distributed network, the method comprising: providing a catalog of available files to nodes of the distributed network, the catalog identifying each file of the available files and a corresponding source node of each file, processing an error report from a target node that received a downloaded file from a selected source node, verifying the error report, degrading a trustworthy-measure of at least one node of the distributed network based oil a result of verifying the error report, and providing the trustworthy- measure of the at least one node to other nodes of the distributed network: wherein verifying the error report includes determining an originator node responsible for modifications to the downloaded file giving rise to the error report, wherein determining the originator node includes notifying the selected source node, and assessing a response from the selected source node to determine the validity of the error report;..."
- Since, no prior art was found to teach:"... and wherein assessing the response includes determining that the error report is valid if the response is a null-response

or an admittance of causing the modifications to the downloaded file, and revising the report to identify an alternative source of the modifications to the downloaded file if the response includes an acknowledgement of the modifications" as it pertains to the other portions of the claim <u>as a whole</u>, in a manner that would motivate a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine it as an obvious inclusion,

 The examiner found the invention as claimed to be novel, and allowed it to be patented.

For dependent claims 3, 9, 16-19, 22-24, and 27-29, the claims are allowed due to their dependency on allowable independent claims 2, 8, 15, 21, and 26.

#### Conclusion

- 5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: see attached form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YAIR LEIBOVICH whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/542,181 Page 10

Art Unit: 2114

(571)270-3796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM

to 5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Scott Badderman can be reached on (571)272-3644. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained

from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott T Baderman/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2114

Y.L.